IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 6709 of 2005

Between:

Kondam Sreedhar Reddy, S/o Dharma Reddy,
R/o Namapur (V), Musthabad (M), Karimnagar District.

... PETITIONER

AND

The Commissioner, Warangal Municipal Corporation,
Warangal District.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction, one in the nature of writ of mandamus, i) declaring the action of the respondent in interfering and laying the road in the petitioner's plot of land admeasuring 210 Sq. Yds situated beside L.I.C Building, Balasamudram, Hanamkonda, Warangal, without acquiring the said plot of land and paying the compensation under the Land Acquisition Act, as arbitrary, illegal, unreasonable, violative of principles of natural justice and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India. ii) Consequently a direction may be given to the respondent to acquire the plot of land and pay compensation as per the provisions of Land Acquisition Act or alternatively to allot a plot of land of equal value within the same locality.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.B.MAHENDER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.V.DURGA NAGESWARA RAO

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following:

O R D E R:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent.

The petitioner seeks a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the respondent in trying to interfere with his possession and enjoyment of the site admeasuring 210 square yards situated beside LIC Building, Balasamudram, Hanmakonda, Warangal District, without following due process of law for the purpose of proposed road widening work, as arbitrary and illegal.

It is represented by the learned counsel appearing for both parties that the issue involved in this writ petition is squarely covered by a decision of this Court in W.P. No. 21198 of 1997 and batch, dated 03-02-1998, wherein the Division Bench while considering an identical question, issued guidelines as under:

> *"1. Where a property is required for public purpose viz., widening of roads or for any other purpose, the authorities straightaway cannot take law into their hands and jump into the premises, dispossess or evict the occupants or demolish the property.*

2. *If the authorities concerned want to take action for eviction/dispossession of the occupants or demolition, they shall issue 60 days' prior notice notifying as to the nature of the property, the property to whom it belongs and the proposed action calling for explanation/objections if any from the occupants. On receipt of such*

objections, if there is any objection as to the ownership of the property, the authorities should determine the extent of encroachment of unauthorized occupation and also determine whether the property in question belongs to Government/any other local self Government or authority or the occupants and pass appropriate orders within three months from the date of receipt of the objections, after affording an opportunity of being heard to the occupants.

3. *In case where demolition of private property has already been made, the authorities shall determine the damages on the basis of the assessment to be made by the Engineer of the Roads and Buildings Department and disburse the amount of damages quantified forthwith.*

4. *If the demolition is in respect of local bodies' Government property, the question of damages does not arise. In such an event, the occupant shall be entitled to remove the material used for the construction.*

5. *In case of demolition of private property, the authorities concerned shall determine the loss caused to the occupants on the basis of the assessment to be made by the Engineer of R & B Department and on such assessment of damages, the concerned authorities shall take appropriate steps to disburse the amount quantified forthwith.*

6. *If there is any claim for grant/assessment of the property, the concerned authorities shall consider the same in accordance with law taking into consideration the eligibility and the entitlement of occupants, after making enquiry and till such claim is decided, there shall be status-quo obtaining as on today regarding the structures."*

The learned Standing counsel appearing for the respondent does not dispute the above legal position.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the respondent not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner in respect of the site in question without following the guidelines issued by the Division Bench in common order dated 03-02-1998 in W.P. No. 21198 of 1997 and batch. No costs.

_____

30.03.2005

sh

To

1) The Commissioner, Warangal Municipal Corporation,
Warangal District.

2) 2 CD copies

3) 1 CC to MR.DURGA NAGESWARA RAO

4) 1 CC to MR.MAHENDER REDDY